Notes on IP Board Meeting

27 March 1970

		1. Security control of (Def. Science Bd.) report	25V
	. 0	on Security of computer systems.	25X
25X1		OS, (in attendance) sought coordination with	1 1
		IP Board on draft memo to DOD stating CIA recommends the	
25X1		report be considered UNCLASSIFIED but controlled by	
-5/(1		"Official Use Only". DOD proposed no controls (UNCLASSIFIED).	11
25X1	The state of the s	said he was under great pressure from DOD for an	
23/1		immediate answer from CIA in that the document was	
		already at the printers.	4
		directly at the printers.	
		Considerable discussion but no month of the man	
		Considerable discussion but no member of the Board	
		had personally read report. (Present version of report became available to CIA on 13 March 1970.)	
		available to CIA on 13 Waren 1970.)	
		Basis specified second to be all (1)	
		Basic question seemed to be whether or not the uncontrolled	. **.
		issuance of this report would increase the vulnerability of our	
25X1	•	computer systems to unauthorized and illicit penetration.	
23/1		draft memo suggested it would; this in turn raised	•
		question of the adequacy of the proposed "Official Use Only" caveat.	•
	-	Caveat.	
1.		T4 :	
		It is apparently unclear whether or not open literature	051/
	4	already contains the same information as the report.	25X
1		Chairman pointed out that the IP Board was not competent	251
		at this meeting to assert whether or not the report would	25X
		increase our vulnerability to penetration. The Board's	
		discussion showed a concensus that if in fact the report	25X
		was dangerous to intelligence methods it should be classified	
		and the draft memo would best be revised accordingly. The	1 m
25X1		Chairman suggested check with OGC re possible legal	
		basis for CIA objection to uncontrolled publication. Finally,	
		he instructed IP&E Team to review the report and feedback an	
		opinion on this classification question.	
	•	Action: IP&E Team to review the report and discuss its	0.514
		Action: IP&E Team to review the report and discuss its	25X

Declass Review by NGA

	i.					
				•		
			2. Feedback from Chair sis I	oriefing of Ess	antin D'	
		Cor	nptroller and Deputy Directors:	oriering or Exe	ecutive Director-	
	,	-	below birects.'s:	•	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
			Carrie of the control			
			Some of the feedback from t	his briefing:		
	•				, , ,	
			- Need constructive at	titude re comr	nunita	4
			concerns and efforts.			
	74		So _{n to}			
			- CIAIs tochnical			
	•		- CIA's technical expe	rts should be	called	
			on when appropriate to lend	their weight t	o certain	* · · · ·
			CIA positions in community	matters.		
		3	X	C		
	•		- IPC's to wear CIA-w	ide hats in Bo	andla tu	
			deliberations as much as fea	seible	aru b	
v.			11 11 11 11 11 11	rothic.		
	- 10		A man and a day			
	- 100		- Agency needs central	ized considera	ation of	
			intended increases in ADP r	esources, eve	n if already	
			budgeted.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
			- DD/S&T said he feels	DCI sometim	as is not	;
			aware of significance of ADI	Pinnute to A ~	ce re not	
	•		The Executive Director Com	inputs to Age	ency activities.	-
	14.		The Executive Director-Com	iptroffer urge	each DD to	
			try to make the ADP contrib	ution clearer v	when briefing	A
	,		DCI on such activities.		()	
				:		وفي العاد أنه
			3. Policy Paper on ADP Approve	als:		
٠.						
	· .		Most members felt some dol	i lam thmochold -		
			contracts (ietm 2.c in draft polic			OFN
			which was accepted.	y)s	uggested	25X
		*	which was accepted.			
0.5)///				• •		
25X1			suggested extension of	last sentence	of para. 2 to	***
			include mention of certain non-Al	OP devices (ph	otographic etc	
				, \	and a pinte, etc.,	•
			General agreement that the r	eference to O	CC in no 2	
			should be placed in clearer conte	crefence to O	os in para. 3.e	
			of placed in clearer conte	xt or elimi	nated entirely.	A
25X1		1 1 1				
23/1			suggested procedure	should show t	hat proposals	
			pass through IP Board on way to	Executive Dire	ctor-Comptrolle	r.
	•		- v			- •
25X1	ř.		Action: to re-draft this par	er.	to phone in	25X
		•	desired wording covering	additions? 3	to phone in	23/
			sentence of name 2 rm	December 12	vices for last	
			sentence of para. 2. IP	Doard Will rec	onsider paper	
	·		at next meeting.	· ·	1. F = F	
	F .			***		
	•		Approved For Release 2004/02/112 CI	A DDD79D05703	1000300010030 1	
		. 1	Approved For Release 2004/02/11: CI	M-KDE (0000 (03)	40003000 10029-1	

			4. Policy Paper on Sys: Support Services
25X1			said paper was written to reflect three goals previously discussed by IP Board:
		·	a. Develop Systems Support Services skills in-house; minimize dependency on commercial suppliers.
		. 0	b. Avoid duplicate tasking of commercial suppliers by our various ADP centers.
		, ·	c. Avoid efforts in each ADP center to build up full range of systems support skills; instead, maximize use of such skills in OCS to support other centers.
			Considerable discussion. Agreed to rewrite the paper to concentrate on the following theme: Do job locally if you can. If can't handle locally, turn to OCS for help. If OCS can't handle it with its staff, then OCS will task commercial source (thereby avoiding duplicate tasking). (This channel to commercial
			supplier via OCS will be normal for IBM assistance; exceptions as appropriate will be made by OCS in cases involving other commercial suppliers.)
25X1			felt wording of footnote which defines "systems support services" was too broad. agreed to draft a new definition which would better identify the range of services under consideration
			here which OCS might be called on to provide.
25X1	The excellent date of the second		Action: to re-draft this paper per the above. 25X to phone in suggested re-wording of footnote on page 1 of draft. IP Board will reconsider at next meeting.
	· .		Note: Two earlier drafts of this paper were reviewed and discussed at length by the IP TECH Group. TECH Group attitudes ranged from full acceptance to near full rejection of the thrust of this policy. With the submission of the present draft to IP Board today, further consideration of this

policy is now back under the IP Board... where the

policy concept originated.

		5. Paper on IBM 2260 Remote Device paper of	25X
	23	March 1970.	23/
	,		
25X1		commented that indeed and this	
20/(1		commented that indeed, as this paper points out,	
		the IBM 2260 does present some installation and network problems.	
	•	He said these problems are well known to both OCS and Commo and	
		that they are being worked on. He said OCS hopes to avoid use of	
		the 2260 entirely and is now trying to shape its plans accordingly.	1
25X1		suggested ORD might be of help here;	25X
		agreed, saying OCS has been using ORD.	20/
25X1		said his paper was simply intended to record the	
		aspects of the problem and to urge action now in finding substitute	
		equipment before Commo has to string additional special cables	
		for the 2260.	. 71
	- "	101 the 2200.	
		Chairman said the Agency will look to OCS for a suitable	
		alternative to the 2260. He said IP&E Team would draft a	
		general guidance paper on remote terminals for next meeting	
		of the Board.	
		Action: Per the above.	
		6. SIDES	
4			
25X1		reported that DD/S has decided to set aside the	
23/1		original SIDES proposal and, instead, to move ahead now on the	
		data circuit requirement as wared by the ID Day 1	
		data circuit requirement as urged by the IP Board.	
		Next steps will be to plan suitable data circuit capabilities	
		(i.e., numbers of remotes, location, type, incl. 2260 or not,	
		central switch or not, etc.). DD/S will try to speed this planning	
		through use, if feasible, of contract money previously	25X
		earmarked for SIDES.	
	**	7. Paper on Savings via ADP	1
25X1		reported that only the DD/I's inputs to this paper had	
		been recieved to date but that DD/P and DD/S inputs were	
		"in the mail".	
	· · ·		- 1 1 to
		Action: Upon receipt of all inputs, IP&E Team (and	
		will put together gone kind of consciliation for the	25X
	land.	will put together some kind of consolidation for IP Board consideration.)
		4-	

8.	I.C	ì.	effort	in	ADP

Chairman explained I.G. has been asked by DCI to look at the "problem of information handling".

Three-member team established:

Plan still being shaped, but team will undertake several inquiries. Some possibilities:

- System through which State pulls together daily data on cable flows.

- Report -- for possible follow-ups.

- Intelligence requirements ... and how they relate to inflow of data to CIA.

- Field reporting procedures... and how to streamline and simplify.

- Information flow in relation to decision making in CIA (MIS-type of thing).
 - Possible inputs to long-range ADP planning.

said the "problems" this team is intended to study do not seem to be very clearly defined. He said he feels there is a need for 4 or 5 solid professionals in systems work at the DCI level to perform such studies if we are going to attempt same.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

-		9. COMCET Message Concentrator
25X1		said OCS hopes to acquire a COMCET message
		concentrator in the near future. Basic purpose: bridges the
		interface between the computer and all the remote devices
•	,	including UNIVAC 9300 communications computers.
		COMCET system will replace some black boxes (control units)
		in OCS and will move some of the CPU's work out of the COMCET
		equipment.
		Three roles for COMCET:
		a. Perform functions (more flexibly) now performed
		A transfer and another a contract of the contr

- a. Perform functions (more flexibly) now performed by hard-wired control units... e.g., changing form and speed of signals as required to move from remotes to CPU and vice versa.
- b. Perform some low-level tasks now performed by the CPU... reducing the workload on the CPU by perhaps as much as 5%.
- c. Concentrate signals from several separate wires, multiplexing same to move on over single line.

He said COMCET should make it easier for OC to design data circuits for Headquarters Building because COMCET rationalizes the remote-to-computer interface problem. A central switch for data circuits for this building may still be required. COMCET is not visualized as performing that function. ...although perhaps it could to some extent.

Chairman said he wanted to	be assured that Commo was fully
exposed to this COMCET plan	and that Commo concurred
in it or at least did not object).	said Commo had
been invited by OCS to all briefin	ngs with contractors and had
been given the technical documer	station by OCS. He said he
sees COMCET as an ADP front e	end rather than a communications
facility. He said he didn't know	what further coordination with

25X1

5X1	Commo was desired but he was willing to do whatever was needed in this regard. said Commo feels coordination has not been adequate.
5X1	During the discussion, it emerged that there are multiple areas of concern in matters such as this within Commo's organizational structure and that perhaps this fact has impeded full coordination
5X1	Action: to seek agreement from Commo on a single point of contact for technical matters such as this and to inform OCS who that person is. OCS then to contact that person and work through that person with Commo to fill whatever gaps in coordination may still
, 1	exist. (Commo to specify what the gaps are.) OCS's formal request for approval of the COMCET acquisition should evidence full coordination with Commo.
	10. IHC's File and Program Catalog
7	Chairman asked if components were having any problems in proceeding to update CIA entries in the File and Program Catalog. Response: "No problem yet".
٠	Action: Change cards and listings due in O/PPB by 20 April. (Due from O/PPB to DIA on 1 May.)
	ll. BLIP File
	Chairman asked if DD/I and DD/S&T had completed plans for exploitation of NPIC's BLIP file. said this is being

25X1

worked on; remote query from Headquarters of this file on NPIC computers will require communications facilities not now in operation, and would have quite an impact on NPIC

workload.

12. Problem Areas for the IPB

List of 8 problem areas handed out... for subsequent discussion by the Board.

Purpose: to come up with short list of most important areas for IP Board's attention. Please review.

13. Next Meeting of IP Board: Thursday, 9 April 1970 at 10 a.m.

25X1

PROBLEM AREAS FOR THE IPB

- 1. Growth of requests for remote terminals and the need to devise policy guidance to cover this.
- 2. Need to review plans for significant upgrading of ADP facilities, to assess the need and to relate upgrading to resource requirements.
- 3. The problem of security vs. ADP. The more stringent the security policy the costlier our arrangements and the more proscribed our ability to relate with the Community.
- 4. Need to be more positive and forthcoming with regard to the concept of Community systems calling for data sharing. If not COINS, what are some realistic and useful alternatives.
- 5. How to thwart narrow parochialism in ADP components. Free dialogue and insistence on receiving advice and counsel, particularly from the more centralized OCS, appear worthy objectives.
- 6. Where do we need special skills and competences not now available or planned (e.g., ADP security, ADP-Commo)?
- 7. Must have a solid Agency ADP plan showing trends, resource data, goals and objectives.
- 8. Over the future, will need to assess plans and requirements for automatic dissemination, relating of information handling technology our stations abroad, and the recruitment, training and care and leeding of ADP personnel.

CENTER RO NG SLIP

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05793A000300010029-1

DDI	I The	enne	ng	6	apr
то	INITIALS	DATE		REMARKS	
DIRECTOR			1-2	FYI	
DEP/DIRECTOR		.1			_
EXEC/DIRECTOR Z	#	4/7	John		
SPECIAL ASST /	D	4/6	المحاول	> >	44.
ASST TO DIR				here an	2 Junys
HISTORIAN			hu	. that a	egune
CH/PPBS			-hzs c	and find	things equile to uplant han given
DEP CH/PPBS				ゴエ	you down
EO/PPBS			-	a com	to Start.
CH/IEG				and a	to Start.
DEP CH/IEG			1	Com	. ماس
EO/IEG					<u>~</u>
CH/PSG		-			9
DEP CH/PSG			1		
EO/PSG			1 has	(47)	
Ch/AiA			- A		
DEP CH/TSSG			-		
EO/TSSG					
CH/SSD/TSSG					
PERSONNEL					
LOGISTICS					
TRAINING					
RECORDS MGT					
SECURITY					
FINANCE					
DIR/IAS/DDI					
CH/DIAXX-4					
CH/DIAAP-9					

